

Nature Conservation (Scarlet Robin) Action Plan 2016

Disallowable Instrument DI2016–210

made under the

Nature Conservation Act 2014, s 105 (Draft action plan – final version and notification)

1 Name of instrument

This instrument is the *Nature Conservation (Scarlet Robin) Action Plan 2016*.

2 Commencement

This instrument commences on the day after notification.

3 Preparation of an action plan

I have prepared the action plan in the schedule.

Annie Lane

Conservator of Flora and Fauna

13 July 2016

Scarlet Robin (*Petroica boodang*)

Action Plan

Background

The Scarlet Robin (*Petroica multicolor*) was declared a vulnerable species on 20 May 2015 (Instrument No. DI2015-88) under the former *Nature Conservation Act 1980* (NC Act 1980). The declaration followed a recommendation by the Flora and Fauna Committee, guided by criteria formerly set out in Instrument No. DI2008-170 (Table 1). On 3 June 2015 the Committee recommended the scientific name for the Scarlet Robin be changed to *P. boodang* following a molecular study (Kearns *et al* 2015) and a revision of the taxonomy of Australian passerine bird species (Dickinson and Christidis 2014).

The NC Act 1980 was repealed and replaced with the current *Nature Conservation Act 2014* (NC Act 2014) on 11 June 2015. Part 2.4 of the NC Act 2014 established the Scientific Committee to replace the Flora and Fauna Committee. On 29 July 2015 (Instrument No. NI2015-438) listings of threatened species as declared under the NC Act 1980, including the formerly declared vulnerable species, the Scarlet Robin, were listed under the NC Act 2014. The scientific name of the Scarlet Robin was updated to *P. boodang* on 30 May 2016.

Table 1. Criteria satisfied

<p>2.2. Species is observed, estimated, inferred or suspected to be at risk of premature extinction in the ACT region in the medium-term future, as demonstrated by:</p> <p>2.2.1. Current serious decline in population or distribution from evidence based on direct observation, including comparison of historic and current records.</p>

Subsection 100(a)(i) of the NC Act 2014 outlines requirements for action plans.

Measures proposed in this action plan complement those proposed in the action plan for Yellow Box/Red Gum Grassy Woodland (ACT Government 2004) and for listed threatened woodland bird species such as the Hooded Robin (*Melanodryas cucullata*), Brown Treecreeper (*Climateris picumnus*), White-winged Triller (*Lalage sueurii*), Varied Sittella (*Daphoenositta chrysoptera*), Painted Honeyeater (*Grantiella picta*), Regent Honeyeater (*Anthochaera phrygia*), Superb Parrot (*Polytelis swainsonii*) and Swift Parrot (*Lathamus discolor*).

DESCRIPTION

The Scarlet Robin, *P. boodang*, is 12–14 centimetres in length and averages 13 grams in weight. Adult male birds have bold red, black and white plumage and females are brownish with a red/orange wash on the breast (Pizzey and Knight 2012) (Figures 1a and 1b). Young birds resemble the adult female.

Figure 1a. Scarlet Robin, *P. boodang* (male). G. Dabb.




Figure 1b. Scarlet Robin, *P. boodang* (female). G. Dabb.



P. boodang is one of three red breasted robins in Australia, the others being the Flame Robin (*P. phoenicea*) and the Red Capped Robin (*P. Goodenovii*). *P. boodang* is distinguishable from the other red breasted robins by the obvious white forehead and red wash on the breast in females. Unlike *P. phoenicea*, the red breast plumage colour of *P. boodang* does not continue up the throat to the bill. Distinctions from *P. goodenovii* are *P. boodang*'s lack of a scarlet red cap in the males and *P. goodenovii*'s lack of a dull reddish wash on the forehead in females (Pizzey and Knight 2012).

Figure 2. Distribution Map of *P. boodang* in the ACT.



Note: The *P. boodang* records were supplied by Canberra Ornithologists Group (COG Database), including from eBird Australia (eBird Australia 2016) and excluding the Garden Bird Survey data (COG 2014). *P. boodang* distribution has been summarised for 187x2.5 minute grids covering the ACT and the Googong Reservoir in NSW, currently managed by the ACT. The mapping classes recognise natural breaks inherent in the data to best group similar values using Jenk's Natural Breaks algorithm (Jenks 1967).

DISTRIBUTION

P. boodang is found in south-eastern Australia (extreme south-east Queensland to Tasmania, western Victoria and south-east South Australia) and south-west Western Australia. In NSW it occupies open forests and woodlands from the coast to the inland slopes (Higgins and Peter 2002), with dispersing birds sometimes appearing in autumn or winter on the eastern fringe of the inland plains (NSW Scientific Committee 2010).

P. boodang is distributed widely across the ACT in eucalypt woodlands and dry, open forest, particularly where shrubs, logs, coarse woody debris and native grasses are present, but is generally absent from open areas where no trees remain (Taylor and COG 1992). Figure 2 shows a distribution map of *P. boodang* in the ACT, summarised for 1 July 1982 to 30 June 2014 and based on records of observations submitted to Canberra Ornithologists Group (COG) and eBird Australia (COG 2015a).

In the warmer months, *P. boodang* can be found mainly at higher altitude in the foothills of the ranges in open forest and shrubby habitats. Occupancy rates decline significantly at higher elevations over the cooler months; birds are more often seen in lowland woodland, peri-urban woodland, grazed paddocks with scattered trees, gardens and parklands at lower altitude during autumn and winter (Taws *et al* 2012). The current COG Annotated Checklist describes *P. boodang* as an ‘Uncommon breeding resident/altitudinal migrant’ in the ACT (COG 2015b).

The *P. boodang* records were supplied by Canberra Ornithologists Group (COG Database), including from eBird Australia (eBird Australia 2016) and excluding the Garden Bird Survey data (COG 2014). *P. boodang* distribution has been summarised for 187x2.5 minute grids covering the ACT and the Googong Reservoir in NSW, currently managed by the ACT. The mapping classes recognise natural breaks inherent in the data to best group similar values using Jenk’s Natural Breaks algorithm (Jenks 1967).

POPULATION TRENDS

Analysis of data from COG’s Woodland Bird Survey (Bounds *et al* 2010) found strong evidence of decline in *P. boodang* abundance in the ACT. More recent research has confirmed *P. boodang* as one of five woodland-dependent species showing a long-term decline in abundance over 14 years (Rayner 2015 PhD thesis *unpubl.*). The study analysed 56 species, with the Grey Shrike-thrush, Mistletoebird, Striated Thornbill and Tree Martin also being found to be in decline.

P. boodang has also been classified as one of three ‘urban avoider’ bird species (including the Striated Thornbill and Rufous Whistler)—native birds that show a long-term declining population in the ACT. Urban avoider species are more likely to be observed at sites at an increasing distance from the urban fringe (0–3

kilometres), are likely to be migratory or dispersive species, and are likely to be smaller-bodied, woodland-dependent species that rely on mid to upper canopy structures for nesting (Rayner *et al* 2015).

CONSERVATION STATUS

P. boodang has a listed conservation status under legislation as follows:

Australian Capital Territory: Vulnerable, Section 91 *Nature Conservation Act 2014*; Special Protection Status species, Section 109 *Nature Conservation Act 2014*.

New South Wales: Vulnerable, listed in Part 1 of Schedule 2 *Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995*.

South Australia: Rare, listed as '*P. m. boodang* (eastern subspecies)' in Schedule 9 *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1972*.

HABITAT AND ECOLOGY

Appendix 1(a) describes the habitat and ecology of *P. boodang* in detail.

THREATS

Following a detailed literature review of the habitat and ecology of *P. boodang* in eastern Australia, four key threats to maintaining a viable, stable and breeding population in the ACT have been identified. The four key threats, in decreasing order of significance, are:

- ***Habitat loss and degradation***
- ***Predation***
- ***Climate change***
- ***Competition***

Appendix 1(b) documents the four key threats in detail, citing sources from the scientific literature.

OBJECTIVES AND INTENDED MANAGEMENT ACTIONS

Five management objectives have been identified, each to be achieved by management actions, to address the risk of premature extinction of *P boodang*.

Objectives

1. Identify, protect and restore breeding and foraging habitat critical to survival of the species in the ACT.
2. Manage critical habitat to conserve the species in response to the identified threats.
3. Promote and support the survey, monitoring and research of the species in the ACT to better understand its ecology and conservation needs.
4. Co-operate with state and local government agencies in formulating and implementing conservation measures.
5. Increase community awareness of the need to protect the species in its habitat in the ACT and engage in community-based conservation action.

Actions

Table 2 identifies the proposed management actions and indicators against each of the objectives.

Table 2. Key objectives, actions and indicators

Objective	Action	Indicator
1. Identify, protect and restore <i>P. boodang</i>'s breeding and foraging habitat.	1a. For environmental offsetting purposes treat <i>P. boodang</i> as a 'significant species' predicted by vegetation types assessed according to the method for assessing ecological community credits.	1a. <i>P. boodang</i> is included within the Environmental Offsets Calculator for assessing ecological community credits by July 2018.
	1b. Map the location and extent of prime occupied breeding and foraging habitat of <i>P. boodang</i> in the ACT (including through working with volunteers) to guide management activity.	1b. Maps of breeding sites and the current extent of foraging habitat occupied by <i>P. boodang</i> are prepared by July 2018.

Objective	Action	Indicator
	<p>1c. Retain currently occupied <i>P. boodang</i> breeding and foraging habitat in open forest and woodland on public and rural leasehold land and increase the size of these habitat patches by planting indigenous trees and shrubs to provide additional foraging habitat for <i>P. boodang</i>.</p>	<p>1c. The area of currently occupied breeding and foraging habitat for <i>P. boodang</i> is increased.</p>
	<p>1d. Restore <i>P. boodang</i>'s degraded open forest or woodland habitat by replacing missing structural layers to provide protection from predation (e.g. Pied Currawong) and deter Noisy Miners (e.g. mid-layer wattles, shrub layer and ground layer species) using locally indigenous species.</p>	<p>1d. The areas of treated open forest or woodland habitat are more structurally diverse.</p>
	<p>1e. Where feasible, establish 'fenced corridor' or 'stepping stone' plantings (plots a minimum 20x20 metres wide and a maximum of 100 metres apart) to reconnect isolated habitat and deter Noisy Miners.</p>	<p>1e. The number of established plantings increases.</p>
<p>2. Manage habitat to conserve <i>P. boodang</i>.</p>	<p><i>Coarse woody debris</i></p> <p>2a. Maintain a program to place 'coarse woody debris' on the ground in known or potential breeding or foraging habitat suitable for <i>P. boodang</i>.</p>	<p>2a. Area of breeding or foraging habitat enhanced by placement of 'coarse woody debris' or by similar ground layer enhancement treatments increases.</p>
	<p><i>Grazing</i></p> <p>2b. Encourage landowners to fence areas of known</p>	<p>2b. The area of fenced forest or woodland habitat suitable for</p>

Objective	Action	Indicator
	<p>forest or woodland habitat suitable for <i>P. boodang</i> to control grazing and to facilitate shrub and tree regeneration.</p>	<p><i>P. boodang</i> increases.</p>
	<p><i>Fire</i></p> <p>2c. For areas of known suitable <i>P. boodang</i> habitat in open forest or woodland, take account of research findings on the optimum prescribed burning regime favouring Category C species (i.e. <i>P. boodang</i>).</p>	<p>2c. Ecological guidelines for maintaining habitat conditions for Category C species (i.e. <i>P. boodang</i>) are incorporated into planned prescribed burning regimes.</p>
	<p><i>Predation</i></p> <p>2d. Maintain or extend existing predator-proof fencing and cat containment zones where they coincide with known <i>P. boodang</i> breeding sites or potential breeding habitat (e.g. Mulligans Flat/Goorooyaroo, Molonglo Valley).</p>	<p>2d. The area protected through predator proof fencing and cat containment zones that coincides with <i>P. boodang</i> habitat increases.</p>
	<p>2e. Monitor effectiveness of predator reduction measures for <i>P. boodang</i>.</p>	<p>2e. Effectiveness of predation reduction measures on known or potential <i>P. boodang</i> breeding sites is reported.</p>
	<p>2f. Maintain control of exotic, berry-bearing trees or shrubs e.g. Sweet Briar Rose, Hawthorn, Blackberry) in open forest and woodland and replace removed woody weeds with locally indigenous species, particularly bi-pinnate wattles (<i>Acacia</i> spp.), native shrubs (e.g. <i>Bursaria</i> sp., <i>Kunzea</i> sp.) or she-oaks (<i>Allocasuarina</i> spp.) to reduce food resources for the abundant, predatory Pied Currawong (<i>Strepera</i></p>	<p>2f. Area of exotic trees or shrubs cleared and replaced with locally indigenous species increases.</p>

Objective	Action	Indicator
	<i>graculina</i>).	
3. Promote and support a survey, monitoring and research program.	3a. Continue monitoring <i>P. boodang</i> occurrence at permanent forest and woodland monitoring sites including measuring relevant habitat parameters (i.e. canopy cover, shrub cover, ground cover, logs, fallen branches and litter).	3a. The COG Woodland Survey (Bounds <i>et al</i> 2010), including the relevant habitat parameters, or its equivalent, continues to be conducted each year on a quarterly basis.
	3b. Additional monitoring by volunteers of <i>P. boodang</i> occurrence to capture responses to climate change (e.g. arrival/departure times at lower altitude sites, timing/altitude of breeding).	3b. Data on <i>P. boodang</i> 's arrival/departure times and timing/altitude of breeding is recorded and analysed.
	3c. Support research initiatives, for example at PhD/Masters level, to fill gaps in knowledge of <i>P. boodang</i> : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • critical habitat areas (i.e. breeding sites, including lowland habitats) • the foraging ecology of <i>P. boodang</i> and the habitat variables determining optimum foraging habitat • vulnerability to predators • seasonal migration/movements and habitat corridors. 	3c. At least one research project is initiated within the first five years of the action plan's commencement.
	3d. Take new research findings into account by modifying mapped breeding and foraging habitat and reviewing management actions.	3d. ACTmapi is updated and management actions are revised.

Objective	Action	Indicator
4. Co-operate with state and local government agencies.	4a. Collaborate in joint monitoring and research initiatives for the bio-region that take into account management issues for threatened species dependent on open forest and woodland habitat (e.g. <i>P. boodang</i>).	4a. At least one joint monitoring and research initiative is undertaken.
5. Increase community awareness of and engagement in managing <i>P. boodang</i> as a vulnerable species.	5a. Collaborate with community groups (e.g. Landcare, Parkcare and catchment groups) and citizen science groups (e.g. COG, eBird Australia, Canberra Nature Map) promoting both incidental and systematic data collection of <i>P. boodang</i> sightings, in particular: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • breeding behaviour (i.e. nest site location, characteristics, breeding success and predation) • altitudinal migration (i.e. arrival/departure, breeding dates, use of wildlife corridors/habitat patches, proximity to urban areas). 	5a. Records of submitted and targeted information collected on <i>P. boodang</i> behaviour, distribution and altitudinal migration show the rates of recording have increased, e.g. as reported in the COG Annual Bird Report for the ACT, COG (2015a).
	5b. Collaborate with volunteer community groups and rural landholders at suitable fora (e.g. Parkcare; Rural Landholders Association; Fringe fora;	5b. A number of conservation workshops are held at least on a biennial basis.

Objective	Action	Indicator
	Conservation Research) to demonstrate conservation actions (e.g. woody weed control, planting, coarse woody debris placement, temporary grazing) that will improve habitat conditions for small, passerine birds, including <i>P. boodang</i> .	

Box 1 - Adaptive resource management

The Adaptive Resource Management (ARM) approach was conceived as a technical–ecological model to deal with uncertainty (Walters and Holling 1990, Allan 2007). Consequently ARM involves learning from implementation; learning opportunities need to be identified, hypotheses stated and different management treatments tested. Of necessity, ARM also focuses on the problem of using such new knowledge in policy and planning (e.g. Stankey *et al* 2003).

The ACT Nature Conservation Strategy 2013–23 (ACT Government 2013) signals a shift away from reliance on static planning documents towards more flexible tools designed for adaptive management and feedback into implementation cycles.

Interactive mapping tools may be able to be used to support ARM in the context of this action plan.

Mapping of habitat and setting baselines is an essential first step in adaptive management. Statistical or mathematical models could be developed using spatially-referenced and/or time-series data based on *P. boodang* occurrence to predict or trade-off future management scenarios (e.g. use of prescribed fire). In most cases, in order to be readily understood, such modelled output would need to be mapped.

Monitoring is crucial if learning by conservation managers is to occur and to assist in review of this action plan. Under s.108 of the NC Act 2014 the Conservator of Flora and Fauna must monitor the effectiveness of an action plan and make the findings publicly accessible.

Implementation and Review of this Action Plan

Implementation of this action plan will result in new knowledge about the habitat and ecology of *P. boodang*. This knowledge should inform implementation of relevant actions in this action plan. To

emphasise the importance of new knowledge in implementing this action plan, specific benchmarks have been included for three actions to highlight the need to implement these actions as a high priority. These actions are numbered 1a, 1b and 3c (see Table 2 above).

New knowledge will also inform review of the action plan. Under s.108 of the NC Act 2014 the Conservator of Flora and Fauna must report to the Minister about each action plan at least once every five years and make the report publicly accessible within 30 days. The Scientific Committee must review an action plan every 10 years, or at any other time at the Conservator's request.

Acknowledgments

This action plan was prepared by the Conservator of Flora and Fauna situated in the Environment and Planning Directorate, ACT Government. Use of the bird database held by the Canberra Ornithologists Group is gratefully acknowledged.

Glossary

Altitudinal migrant

A species that breeds at higher altitude in summer and migrates to lower altitude areas in winter.

Breeding record

A breeding record for *P. boodang*, including any of the following activities: carrying food ('cf'), copulation ('co'), display ('di') or dependent young ('dy').

Critical habitat

Habitat that is critical to the survival of a species or ecological community (Dictionary, s.3 of the *Nature Conservation Act 2014*.)

Congeneric

A species which is a member of the same genus as another species.

Dependent

A bird fed by its parents.

Dispersing

A species spreading to other areas, often after breeding has ceased.

Migrant

A bird that moves between locations in a regular annual cycle, usually breeding in one and wintering in another.

Nesting recorded

A breeding record for *P. boodang* including any of the following nesting activities: sitting on ('on'), building a nest ('nb), a nest with eggs ('ne') or a nest with young ('ny').

Passerine

A member of the order Passeriformes, a perching song-bird with three forward-pointing toes and one rear-pointing toe.

References

ACT Government (2004). Woodlands for Wildlife: ACT Lowland Woodland Conservation Strategy. Action Plan No. 27. (Environment ACT, Canberra).

ACT Government (2013). ACT Nature Conservation Strategy 2013-23. Environment and Sustainable Development Directorate, Canberra. 35 pp.

ACT Government (2016). ACTMAPi viewer. Website accessed 31 May 2016:<http://www.actmap.i.act.gov.au/home.html>

Allan C. (2007). Adaptive management of natural resources. In Wilson, AL, Dehaan RL, Watts RK, Page KJ, Bowmer KH and Curtis A (2007). Proceedings of the 5th Australian Stream Management Conference. Australian Rivers: making a difference. Charles Sturt University. Thurgoona, New South Wales.

Barratt DG (1997). Predation by House Cats *Felis catus* (L.) in Canberra, Australia. I. Prey Composition and Preference. *Wildlife Research* 24, 263-277.

Barrett G, Silcocks A, Barry S, Cunningham R and Poulter R (2003). *The New Atlas of Australian Birds*. RAOU, Melbourne.

<http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/abrs/online-resources/fauna/afd/index.html>

Bounds J, Taws N and Cunningham, R. (2010). A statistical analysis of trends in occupancy rates of woodland birds in the ACT, December 1998 to December 2008: the ten-year data analysis. *Canberra Bird Notes* 35(3): 158-191.

Canberra Ornithologists Group (2009). *Birds of Canberra Gardens*. Second Edition. Canberra Ornithologists Group Inc., Canberra.

Canberra Ornithologists Group (2014). Annual Bird Report: 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2013. Scarlet Robin (*Petroica boodang*). *Canberra Bird Notes* 39:1, 74.

Canberra Ornithologists Group (2015a). Annual Bird Report: 1 July 2013 to 30 June 2014. Scarlet Robin (*Petroica multicolor*). *Canberra Bird Notes* 40:1, 80.

Canberra Ornithologists Group (2015b). Annotated Checklist of the Birds of the Australian Capital Territory. 17 December 2014. Website accessed 10/7/2015:
<http://canberrabirds.org.au/publications/maps-forms-and-lists/annotated-checklist-of-the-birds-of-the-australian-capital-territory/>

Canberra Ornithologists Group (2015c). Annual Bird Report: 1 July 2013 to 30 June 2014. Pied Currawong (*Strepera graculina*). *Canberra Bird Notes* 40:1, 75.

Canberra Ornithologists Group (2015d). Annual Bird Report: 1 July 2013 to 30 June 2014. Noisy Miner (*Manorina melanocephala*).
Canberra Bird Notes 40:1, 65.

Debus SJS (2006a). Breeding-habitat and nest-site characteristics of Scarlet Robins and Eastern Yellow Robins near Armidale, NSW. *Pacific Conservation Biology* 12, 261-71.

Debus SJS (2006b). The role of intense nest predation in the decline of Scarlet Robins and Eastern Yellow Robins in remnant woodland near Armidale, New South Wales. *Pacific Conservation Biology* 12, 279-87.

Debus SJS (2008). The effect of Noisy Miners on small bush birds: an official cull and its outcome. *Pacific Conservation Biology* 14, 185-190.

Department of the Environment (2014). Aggressive exclusion of birds from potential woodland and forest habitat by over-abundant noisy miners (*Manorina melanocephala*). Minister's Reasons for a Threat Abatement Plan decision. Date of decision -7/4/2014. Australian Government . Website accessed 12/8/2015:
<http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/key-threatening-processes/overabundant-noisy-miners>

Dickinson EC and Christidis L (2014). *The Howard and Moore Complete Checklist of the Birds of the World. Volume 2: Passerines*. Aves Press. October 2014, 752 pp.

Director of National Parks (2010). *Norfolk Island Region Threatened Species Recovery Plan*. Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts, Canberra, pp 126-7.

eBird Australia (2016) eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance [web application]. eBird, Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York. Accessed 16 July 2016:

<http://ebird.org/ebird/australia/subnational1/AU-ACT?yr=all&m=&rank=mrec>

Frith, HJ (ed.) (1984). *Birds in the Australian High Country*. Angus and Robertson, Sydney (Revised edition).

Ford HA, Barrett GW, Saunders DA and Recher H (2001). Why have birds in the woodland of southern Australia declined? *Biological Conservation* 97, 71-88.

Garnett ST and Crowley (2000). *The Action Plan for Australian Birds*. Environment Australia, Canberra.

Garnett ST and Franklin DC (eds.) (2014). *Climate Change Adaptation Plan for Australian Birds*. CSIRO Publishing, Australia.

Grey MJ, Clarke MF and Loyn RH (1997). Initial changes in the avian communities of remnant eucalypt woodlands following a reduction in the abundance of Noisy Miners, *Manorina melanocephala*. *Wildlife Research* 24, 631-648.

Grey MJ, Clarke MF and Loyn RH (1998). Influence of the Noisy Miner *Manorina melanocephala* on avian diversity and abundance in remnant Grey Box woodland. *Pacific Conservation Biology* 4, 55-69.

Grey MJ, Clarke MF and Taylor R (2010). The impact of the Noisy Miner (*Manorina melanocephala*) on woodland birds and possible mitigation strategies: a review with recommendations. Report to the Department of Sustainability and Environment, Victoria by Latrobe University, February 2010.

Higgins PJ, Peter JM and Steele WK (2001). *Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds*. Volume 5. *Tyrant-flycatchers to Chats*. Oxford University Press, Melbourne.

Higgins PJ and Peter M (eds.) (2002). *Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds*. Volume 6. *Pardalotes to Spangled Drongo*. Oxford University Press, Melbourne.

Jenks GF (1967). The Data Model Concept in Statistical Mapping. *International Yearbook of Cartography* 7, 186–190.

Kearns AM, Joseph L, White LC, Austin JJ, Baker C, Driskell AC, Malloy JF and Omland KE (2015). Norfolk Island Robins are a distinct endangered species: ancient DNA unlocks surprising relationships and phenotypic discordance within the Australo-Pacific Robins. *Conservation Genetics* DOI: 10.1007/s10592-015-0783-4.

MacHunter P, Menkhorst P and Loyn R (2009). *Towards a Process for Integrating Vertebrate Fauna into Fire Management Planning*. Arthur Rylah Institute for Environmental Research. Technical Report Series No. 192. Department of Sustainability and Environment . 123 Brown Street, Heidelberg, Victoria. September 2009. 48 pp.

Mac Nally R, Bowen M, Howes A, McAlpine CA and Maron M (2012). Despotic, high-impact species and the subcontinental scale control of avian assemblage structure. *Ecology* 93, 668-78.

Maron M, Main A, Bowen M, Howes A, Kath J, Pilette C and McAlpine CA (2011). Relative influence of habitat modification and interspecific competition on woodland bird assemblages in eastern Australia. *Emu* 111, 40-51.

NSW Office of the Environment and Heritage (2016a). Scarlet Robin – Profile. Threats. Website accessed 22/3/2016:

<http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/ThreatenedSpeciesApp/profile.aspx?id=20133>

NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (2016b). Scarlet Robin *Petroica boodang*). Saving our Species Program. Website accessed: 30/5/2016

<http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/savingourspeciesapp/project.aspx?ProfileID=20133>

NSW Scientific Committee (2010). Scarlet Robin *Petroica boodang* (Lesson 1838) –vulnerable species listing. Final determination. Website accessed 9/2/2015:

<http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/determinations/scarletrobinFD.htm>

Scarlet Robin species profile for NSW:

<http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/threatenedSpeciesApp/profile.aspx?id=20133>

NSW Scientific Committee (2013). Noisy Miner *Manorina melanocephala* – key threatening process listing. Final determination, 27 September 2013. Website accessed 11/8/2015:

<http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/resources/threatenedspecies/FDNoisminerKTP.pdf>

Olsen P, Weston M, Tzaros C and Silcocks A (2005). The State of Australia's Birds 2005: Woodlands and Birds. Supplement to *Wingspan* 15, 32 pp.

Pizzey G and Knight F (2012). *The Field Guide to the Birds of Australia*. Edited by S. Pizzey. 9th Edition. HarperCollinsPublishers, Sydney.

Radford JQ and Bennett AF (2007). The relative importance of landscape properties for woodland birds in agricultural environments. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 44, 737-747.

Rayner L, Ikin K, Evans MJ, Gibbons P, Lindenmayer DB and Manning AD (2015). Avifauna and urban encroachment in time and space. *Diversity Distributions (Diversity Distrib.)* 2014, 1-13. Downloaded from website on 10/7/2015:

<http://www.readcube.com/articles/10.1111%2Fddi.1222>

Rayner L, 2015 (*unpubl.*). Chapter II. 'The influence of weather on long-term population trends of birds in an endangered ecological community' of *Conserving Woodland Birds: the need for population data in evidence-based planning*. ANU PhD thesis (part), pp. 79-122. August 2014, Australian National University.

Recher HF, Davis WE and Calver MC (2002). Comparative foraging ecology of five species of ground-pouncing birds in Western Australian woodlands with comments on species decline. *Ornithological Science* 1, 29-40.

Robinson D (1990). The nesting ecology of sympatric Scarlet Robin *Petroica multicolor* and Flame Robin *P. phoenicea* populations in open eucalypt forest. *Emu* 90, 40-52.

Szabo JK, Vesk PA, Baxter PWJ and Possingham HP (2010). Regional avian species declines estimated from volunteer-collected long-term data using List Length Analysis. *Ecological Applications* 20, 2157-2167.

Taylor M and COG (1992). *Birds of the Australian Capital Territory*. An Atlas. Canberra Ornithologists Group and the National Capital Development Authority.

Taws N, Bounds J, Rowell A and Cunningham R (2012). An analysis of bird occupancy and habitat changes at six woodland locations - 2003 and 2010. *Canberra Bird Notes* 37(2), 100-129.

Watson J, Watson A, Paull D and Freudenberger D (2003). Woodland fragmentation is causing the decline in species and functional groups of birds in south-eastern Australia. *Pacific Conservation Biology* 8, 261-270.

Walters CJ and Holling CS (1990). Large-scale management experiments and learning by doing. *Ecology*. 71(6), 2060-2068.

Woinarski JCK (1985). Breeding biology and life history of small insectivorous birds in Australian forests: response to a stable environment? *Proceedings Ecological Society Australia* 14, 159-68.

PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS

Ms Jenny Bounds, Conservation Officer, Canberra Ornithologists Group.

Dr Laura Rayner, Post-doctoral Fellow, Fenner School of Environment and Society, Australian National University.

David McDonald, Mark Clayton, Canberra Ornithologists Group.

Paul Fennell, Data Manager, Canberra Ornithologists Group.

Appendix 1(a)

HABITAT AND ECOLOGY

P. boodang lives in dry eucalypt forest and woodlands, usually with trees and shrubs present and an open or grassy understorey. The species lives in both mature and regrowth vegetation. It occasionally occurs in wet forest or near wetlands. Shrub cover, native grasses, a healthy eucalypt canopy, abundant logs and fallen timber are important components of its habitat (Taws *et al* 2012).

P. boodang are quiet and unobtrusive foragers found on or near the ground and on branches and the trunks of shrubs and trees (Frith 1984, Higgins and Peter 2002). They forage from low perches, fence-posts, tree trunks, logs or the ground, pouncing on small insects and other invertebrates. They sometimes forage in the shrub or canopy layer.

Birds usually occur singly or in pairs, occasionally in small family parties. Pairs stay together all year round. In autumn and winter *P. boodang* joins mixed flocks of other small insectivorous birds that forage through dry forests and woodlands.

P. boodang breeds on ridges, hills and foothills of the western slopes, the Great Dividing Range and eastern coastal regions of NSW; and occasionally breeds up to 1000 metres in altitude. A similar pattern of breeding occurs in the ACT.

P. boodang forms breeding pairs that defend a breeding territory. They mainly breed between July and January although in recent years earliest breeding dates in the ACT have tended to be later in August or early September (COG 2014, 2015a).

P. boodang may raise two or three broods a season. The nest, an open cup made of plant fibres and cobwebs, is often built in the fork of a tree that is usually more than two metres above the ground. Nests are often found in a dead branch on a live tree or in a dead tree or shrub. Eggs are pale greenish-, bluish- or brownish-white, with brown spots; clutch size ranges from one to four. The generation time of *P. boodang* has been estimated at five years based on the congeneric Flame Robin, *P. phoenicea* (Garnett and Crowley 2000).

Critical habitat

For the purposes of this action plan, the critical habitat of *P. boodang* is defined as its breeding habitat in open forest and woodland areas.

Appendix 1(b)

THREATS

Habitat loss and degradation

The main threat to *P. boodang* is the loss of its open forest or woodland breeding and foraging habitat (NSW Scientific Committee 2010) and habitat degradation (Radford and Bennett 2007). In comparing surveyed woodland sites stratified by habitat and land use category, *P. boodang* was found to be:

- less common in habitat patches less than:
 - 30 hectares in area with no tree cover within
 - 200 metres and less than 2% cover within 1 kilometre
- less common at sites surrounded by cattle grazing
- absent from sites surrounded by cereal cropping (Barrett *et al* 2003).

Nest sites, food sources and foraging substrates (i.e. standing dead timber, log and coarse woody debris) are susceptible to depletion by firewood collection and 'tidying up' of rough pasture (e.g. mowing, slashing) and overgrazing (Recher *et al* 2002, Olsen *et al* 2005). However, *P. boodang* occurrence (presence/absence) can be positively associated with habitat patch size and components of habitat complexity such as increasing tree canopy cover, shrub cover, ground cover, logs, fallen branches and litter (Watson *et al* 2003).

P. boodang habitat may become unsuitable if dense regeneration (e.g. wattles) occurs after bushfires in forest or woodland. Research into bird and animal responses to fire in dry forests and woodlands has identified *P. boodang* as a 'Response C' species. Response C species show a long-term decline post-fire with or without a short-term increase in numbers. Although the response may be favourable to these species in the short term, regeneration of the shrub layer renders the habitat unsuitable after a few years. Eventual species recovery is expected as the shrub layer thins out over time. However, there is insufficient knowledge about when this would happen (MacHunter *et al* 2009).

Predation

Open nesting, small, passerine birds (e.g. robins, flycatchers, whistlers and honeyeaters) experience poor nesting success in fragmented and degraded eucalypt woodlands (Woinarski 1985, Robinson 1990, Ford *et al* 2001, Higgins and Peter 2002). The Pied Currawong *Strepera graculina* is a nest predator whose population has increased significantly in eastern Australia to become a common breeding bird in urban and peri-urban areas (NSW Scientific Committee 2010). A Pied Currawong population increase is also evident in urban Canberra (COG 2009, COG 2015c). Debus (2006 a,b) investigated whether the Pied Currawong has become a threat to *P. boodang* and Yellow Robin's (*Eopsaltria australis*) breeding productivity by

testing whether culling of currawongs during the robins' breeding season led to increased breeding success in remnant woodland at Imbota, near Armidale, northern NSW. Debus found that culling led to a twofold increase in nest success, higher fledgling rates and increased nest survival rates for both robin species. The study confirmed that predation by the Pied Currawong was a major cause of nest failure together with a wide range of other nest predators (e.g. mammals and reptiles) in the cull area (Debus 2006a,b).

Barratt (1997) studied predation by house cats on wildlife in Canberra. Information on the composition of vertebrate prey caught by cats was collected by recording prey deposited at cat owners' residences over 12 months. A total of 1961 prey items comprising 67 species were collected or reported. Birds comprised 27% of the total (14% native, 10% introduced, 3% unidentified). Of the 47 bird species identified as prey, 41 were native bird species.

On Norfolk Island the Scarlet Robin (*P. multicolor*, formerly *P. b. multicolor*) is thought to be affected by cat (*Felis catus*) and black rat (*Rattus rattus*) predation and cat and rat control measures were recommended (Director of National Parks 2010; Garnett and Franklin 2014). Predation by feral cats (*F. catus*) and robbing of nests and predation of fledgling by rats (*Rattus sp.*) are recognised as threats to *P. boodang* in NSW (NSW Office of Environment and Heritage 2016a).

Climate change

An assessment of *P. boodang*'s likely response to climate change has been undertaken as part of the Climate Change Adaptation Plan for Australian Birds (Garnett and Franklin 2014). The comparison of climate suitability for the *P. boodang* species as a whole showed the suitability as mapped for 1985 contracting southwards by about 50% in total area by 2085, but remaining relatively extensive and including the entire ACT within the modelled species distribution. The two Australian mainland subspecies *P. b. boodang* (eastern Australia) and *P. b. campbelli* (south-western Australia) were assessed as being of 'medium' sensitivity to climate change (Garnett and Franklin 2014).

Competition

The Australian Government (March 2013) and the NSW Government (September 2013) have listed the 'Aggressive exclusion of birds from forest or woodland habitat by abundant Noisy Miners' as a Key Threatening Process under legislation (Department of Environment 2014). In making the declaration, the NSW Scientific Committee recognised *P. boodang* as one of a range of listed threatened species which may be adversely affected by aggressive exclusion by abundant Noisy Miners (NSW Scientific Committee 2013). The Noisy Miner, *Manorina melanocephala*, has benefited from the large-scale vegetation changes, such as fragmentation, that accompanied European settlement of Australia (Higgins *et al* 2001; Grey *et al* 2010, Maron *et al* 2011) and, as a result, has increased in abundance (Szabo *et al* 2010). In the ACT, since 1991 the reporting rate for the Noisy Miner in COG's Annual Bird Report increased from 4.3% to 21% in

2010–11 (COG 2015d). Data analysis from across south-eastern Australia has shown Noisy Miner densities of 0.8/hectare or larger are strongly negatively correlated with small to medium sized native birds (Mac Nally *et al* 2012). The experimental removal of Noisy Miners from habitat patches results in the re-colonisation of small to medium sized birds (Grey *et al* 1997, 1998; Debus 2008) even in the absence of restoration of habitat structure.