

Planning and Development (Weston Woden Animal Hospital, Block 6 Section 45 Holder) Scoping Document 2022

Notifiable instrument NI2022–166

made under the

Planning and Development Act 2007, s 212 (Scoping of EIS)

1 Name of instrument

This instrument is the *Planning and Development (Weston Woden Animal Hospital, Block 6 Section 45 Holder) Scoping Document 2022*.

2 Commencement

This instrument commences on the day after its notification day.

3 Scoping of EIS

Under section 212 of the *Planning and Development Act 2007*, the planning and land authority has prepared the scoping document in the schedule.

George Cilliers
Delegate of the planning and land authority
22 March 2022



ACT
Government

Environment, Planning and
Sustainable Development

Scoping Document

Under Division 8.2.2 of the *Planning and Development Act 2007*

APPLICATION NUMBER: 202100048		DATE OF THIS NOTICE: 04 March 2022
DATE LODGED: 27 January 2022		
PROJECT: Weston Woden Animal Hospital redevelopment of the existing vet hospital, addition of a new meeting/training hub and a future specialist hub and other associated works, including car parking and verge crossings.		
IMPACT TRACK TRIGGER: Pursuant to Section 137 of the <i>Planning and Development Act 2007</i> , the impact track applies as the proposed development is authorised by a lease but is listed as prohibited in the zones development table.		
BLOCK: 6	SECTION: 45	DIVISION: Holder
ADDRESS: 176 Dixon Drive, Holder		
PROPONENT/ APPLICANT: Canberra Town Planning		
LESSEE/LAND CUSTODIAN BLOCK 6: Archtayl Property Pty Ltd		

SCOPING DOCUMENT

The planning and land authority (the Authority) within the Environment, Planning and Sustainable Development Directorate received your application under section 212(1) of the *Planning and Development Act 2007* (the PD Act) for Scoping of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the above proposed development. Pursuant to section 212(2) of the PD Act, the Authority has:

- Identified the matters that are to be addressed by an EIS in the relation to the development proposal; and
- Prepared a written notice (the **scoping document**) of the matters.

NB: The EIS must conform to the requirements of this scoping document. This document does not indicate approval or support in any way, nor does it indicate approval in principle.

TERM OF SCOPING DOCUMENT

Pursuant to section 213(2) of the PD Act, the proponent must give the draft EIS to the Authority 18 months from the day the Authority gives this scoping document to the applicant.

FORM AND FORMAT OF EIS

The Authority requires that the proponent engage a suitably qualified independent consultant to prepare an EIS, or the proponent submits, with the draft EIS, an independent review of the draft EIS undertaken by a suitably qualified consultant. The EIS must be in the following form and format:

- The EIS must be prepared in accordance with section 50 of the *Planning and Development Regulation 2008*.
- The EIS must be written in plain English and avoid the use of jargon as much as possible.

GPO BOX 1908, Canberra ACT 2601

www.planning.act.gov.au

Authorised by the ACT Parliamentary Counsel—also accessible at www.legislation.act.gov.au



ACT
Government

Environment, Planning and
Sustainable Development

Scoping Document

Under Division 8.2.2 of the *Planning and Development Act 2007*

- The EIS is required to be provided in the same structure as described in this Scoping Document as closely as possible.
- A table that cross-references the EIS to the scoping document must be included in the EIS submission.
- The report must reference any figures or supporting information used to the supporting appendix and page number, table or figure.
- Additional technical detail, including relevant data, technical reports and other sources of the EIS analysis must be provided in appendices.
- A redacted version (in addition to the full version) of any reports containing restricted or sensitive information must be provided for public notification, such as a Cultural Heritage Assessment report.
- Maps, diagrams and other illustrative material should be included in the EIS to assist readers to interpret information.
- The EIS document must be sized A4 with maps and drawings in A4 or A3 format.
- The proponent must supply a copy of all draft EIS and revised EIS documents in electronic formats for circulation and web posting. These are to be supplied by email, USB, or another agreed method.
- Digital files must not exceed 20 MB each.

COST OF PREPARATION OF EIS

The proponent is responsible for the preparation of the draft and revised EIS and any related applications and associated costs. This includes additional copies of the draft and revised EIS and other associated documents as required by the Authority from time to time.

NEXT STEPS

The proponent is now required to prepare a document (a **draft EIS**) that addresses each matter raised in this scoping document for the proposal within 18 months from the day the Authority gives the scoping document to the applicant. Once the draft EIS has been accepted for lodgement, a public notification fee is payable in order for notification, referrals and assessment to commence. After the notification period has closed, the Authority will provide comments and any public representations received for the proponent to address in preparing a **revised EIS**, and any further instructions on the application.

If you have any queries about the requirements outlined in this scoping document, please contact Helen Hai to arrange a suitable time to discuss.

Delegate of the planning and land authority

George Cilliers

a/g Executive Group Manager

Statutory Planning Division

Environment, Planning and

Sustainable Development Directorate (EPSDD)

Contact

Helen Hai

A/g Assistant Director

Impact Assessment, EPSDD

E: helen.hai@act.gov.au

T: (02) 6205 3989

GPO BOX 1908, Canberra ACT 2601

www.planning.act.gov.au

Authorised by the ACT Parliamentary Counsel—also accessible at www.legislation.act.gov.au

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE EIS

1. Cover Page

The cover page must clearly display the following:

- The name of the proposal (project title)
- The block identifier(s) and street address for the proposal
- The date of the preparation of the document
- Full name and postal address of the designated proponent
- Full name and postal address of the designated applicant
- Name and contact details of the person/organisation who prepared the documents (if different to the above)

2. Glossary

Provide a glossary of technical terms, acronyms and abbreviations used in the EIS.

3. Executive Summary

Provide a non-technical summary of the EIS including a description of the proposal, key findings and recommendations.

4. Introduction

Summarise the proposal background and justification for the proposal.

5. Proposal Details

5.1. Project Description

Provide a description of the proposal, including:

- a) The objectives and justification for the proposal;
- b) The location of the land to which the proposal relates, including detailed maps;
- c) The division and/or district names and block and/or section numbers of the land under the *Districts Act 2002*;
- d) If the land is leased – the lessee's name;
- e) If the land is unleased or public land – the custodian of the land;
- f) The purposes for which the land may be used;
- g) A clear identification of all lands subject to direct disturbance from the proposal and associated infrastructure and geomorphic features such as waterways and wetlands. This is to be supported by a map showing all affected lands;
- h) An outline of any developments that have been, or are being, undertaken by the proponent, or other person(s) or entities, within the proposal area and broadly in the region. Describe how the proposal relates to these developments;
- i) A description of all the components of the proposal, including the proposal specifications, the predicted timescale for implementation (design, approvals, construction and decommissioning) and project life;

- j) A plan/description of the precise location of any works to be undertaken, structures to be built or elements of the proposal that may have relevant impacts; and
- k) A description of the construction methodologies for the proposal.

5.2. Alternatives to the proposal

Provide details of any alternatives to the proposal considered in developing the proposal including a description of:

- a) Any alternatives to the proposal and provide reasons for selecting the preferred option with an analysis of site selection as an attachment to the EIS;
- b) The criteria used for assessing the performance of any alternative to the proposal considered;
- c) Any matters considered to avoid or reduce potential impacts prior to the selection of the preferred option; and
- d) Details of the consequences of not proceeding with the proposal.

6. Legislative and Strategic Context

A description of the EIS process including any statutory approvals obtained or required for the proposal, and how the proposal is aligned with strategic priorities for the ACT.

6.1. Statutory requirements

The description must include information on statutory requirements for the preparation of an EIS:

- *Planning and Development Act 2007 (including confirmation of relevant S137 triggers based on impacts identified in the scoping document and any studies undertaken in preparing the draft EIS)*
- *Planning and Development Regulation 2008*
- *Heritage Act 2004*
- *Climate Change and Greenhouse Gas Reduction Act 2010*
- Any other related statutory approvals.

6.2. Other requirements

The description must also include information on how the proposal is consistent with each of the following:

- Territory Plan 2008, including the Statement of Strategic Directions (Section 2.1)
- ACT Planning Strategy
- National Capital Plan
- The ACT Climate Change Strategy 2019-2025
- Canberra's Living Infrastructure Plan: Cooling the City
- Relevant Environment Protection Policies and Separation Distance Guidelines for Air Emissions (https://www.environment.act.gov.au/environment/legislation_and_policies)
- Plans of Management for any public land
- Any relevant Master Plan
- Other relevant planning and environmental guidelines and management plans.

7. Risk Assessment

7.1. Risk Assessment Methodology

Provide a risk assessment in accordance with the Australian and New Zealand Standard for risk management AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009 *Risk Management – Principles and guidelines*. The proposed criteria for determining which risks are potentially significant impacts must be described.

-Assessment guide-			
Provide a table with the headings below to describe the risks identified and the original risk rating without any mitigation strategies in place. This table format is one option, however alternative formats can be used provided the methodology is clearly described and in accordance with AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009 <i>Risk Management – Principles and guidelines</i>			
Risk	Likelihood	Consequence	Risk rating

The Preliminary Risk Assessment (PRA) submitted as part of the request for a scoping document must be revised to include, but not be limited to, the risks identified by the Authority in Table 1 below. The risks identified in Table 1 are based on the scoping document application, assessment by the Authority and comments received from entities on the application. All of these risks are considered potentially significant (i.e. a medium risk level or above), and must be addressed in the EIS. Should any risk levels change during the preparation of the EIS or any new risks become apparent, these must be assessed and included with a justification in the EIS, and where relevant, state the residual risks.

Table 1 – Identified impacts and requirements to be addressed in the EIS

Environmental Theme	Risk identified	See section/s below for further detail
Planning and land status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incompatibility with surrounding land uses 	8.2.1
Soil and geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contamination of soil from construction and operation Spills of chemical used on site contaminating land and water due to inappropriate storage and dealings of medicines and poisons, or from events such as flood or fire 	8.2.2
Air quality and odour	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Odour from the facility to surrounding land uses during operation 	8.2.3
Noise and vibration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacts of animal noise during operation 	8.2.4
Hazard and risk	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacts from bushfire to the facility (bushfire prone) Impacts from flood from Weston Creek (east of the site) 	8.2.5

8. Assessment of Impacts

Sufficient information is required to provide the Authority with an adequate understanding of the environmental impacts associated with the proposal.

Each potentially significant risk identified in Table 1 and in the proponent's PRA must be addressed, and structured, as set out in sections 8.1.1-8.1.5 below.

8.1. Standard requirements

8.1.1. Environmental conditions and values

Describe the environmental conditions and identify the environmental values for the environmental themes identified in Table 1. This section should discuss the baseline conditions for the area.

8.1.2. Investigations

Identify the findings and results of any environmental investigation in relation to the land to which the proposal relates.

8.1.3. Impacts

Describe the environmental impacts and their effects associated with the construction and operation for the environmental themes identified in Table 1 and in the proponent's risk assessment (including cumulative, consequential and indirect effects) on physical and ecological systems and human communities.

Particular emphasis should be placed on the potentially significant impacts identified in the risk assessment and Table 1 of this scoping document. Include a discussion of the timeframes of impacts i.e. short or long term, their nature and extent and whether they are reversible or irreversible, unknown or unpredictable. Include an analysis of the significance of the relevant impacts. Information must include any technical data and other information used or needed to make a detailed assessment of the relevant impacts.

8.1.4. Avoidance and mitigation

Discuss the proposed safeguards and mitigation measures that will be implemented to reduce the potentially significant impacts identified in Table 1 and the proponent's risk assessment. This is to include:

- a) A description and an assessment of the proposed impact avoidance, mitigation or offsetting measures to deal with the environmental impact of the proposal, along with which stage the mitigation measures will be adopted
- b) Any statutory or policy basis for the mitigation measures
- c) The frequency, duration and objectives of monitoring proposed
- d) The name of the agency responsible for endorsing or approving each mitigation measure or monitoring program
- e) Any corrective actions should the mitigation measures fail
- f) A description of the cost effectiveness of environmental mitigation or rehabilitation measures proposed and the expected or predicted effectiveness of those measures.

8.1.5. Residual risk

Provide a table that details the residual risk for potentially significant impacts identified in Table 1 and the proponent's risk assessment. A residual risk assessment is only required where the impact is

identified in Table 1 or significance of impact is determined as medium or above.

The calculation of the residual risk should take into account the implementation of mitigation or offsetting measures. A discussion of how the calculations were determined should also be included, including the expected or predicted effectiveness of the mitigation measures.

-Assessment Guide-				
Provide the residual risk assessment as set out in the table below.				
Risk identified in Section 7	Original risk rating from items identified in 7	Residual likelihood	Residual consequence	Residual risk rating

8.2. Detailed requirements

The following items (sections 8.2.1 - 8.2.5) relate to Table 1 must be addressed in detail in the EIS. Please note this is not an exhaustive list of matters that may be required to accurately detail the assessment scenarios.

8.2.1. Planning and land status

- *Include a description of planning context of the area where the project will be located*
- *Describe planning and development status of any land or project relevant to the proposal*
- *Describe land use of the proposed land and any land to be affected (including, but not limited to, zoning, lessee(s) or custodian of the land, the permissibility of the proposed use defined in the Territory Plan).*
- *Provide an analysis on whether the proposal will impact on future uses for the subject site, the zone and surrounding area.*

8.2.2. Soil and geology

- *Describe the soil and geology features of the area*
- *Include details of all hazardous material to be used on site*
- *Describe potential impacts from spillage and/or leakage of hazardous material, cross referencing other sections of the EIS, as required*
- *Describe the controls required to prevent spillage or leakage into the surrounding soil and water from hazardous materials on site*

8.2.3. Air quality and odour

- *An air quality and odour impact assessment must be completed by a suitably qualified environmental consultant*
- *The assessment must also include recommendations on air quality management and controls.*

8.2.4. Noise and Vibration

- *Describe animal noise impact to surrounding businesses during operation*
- *Describe measures to avoid and mitigate animal noise during operation*
- *Provide evidence that direct consultation with surrounding businesses is undertaken.*

8.2.5. Hazard and risk

- *Provide a risk assessment that considers/addresses risks from bushfire and flood, and risks on the surrounding developments*

- *Consider the impact of bushfire on the proposed facility, including details of potential contamination of land, water or air*
- *Consider flood risks on the proposed facility*
- *Emergency Plan to be provided and should include specific flood and fire risk control measures for the proposed facility in the event of fire and flood*

8.3 Entity requirements

The EIS must address the entities comments provided in **Attachment A**. If the issues raised by entities have been addressed in other sections of the EIS, this must be cross referenced.

9. Community and stakeholder consultation

The intention of the consultation in this scoping document is to ensure significant proposals include meaningful engagement with the community in the early stages of the project and provide clear expectations and an understanding of the actual development proposed. Consultation also provides an opportunity for the community to contribute in the design of the proposal and to resolve any major concerns early in the planning stages.

9.1. Consultation must be undertaken with:

- Lease holders and land managers of land potentially impacted by the proposal;
- Any recreational groups which may be affected by the proposal;
- The local community; and businesses owners and employees.

9.2. Provide a consultation report that includes:

- Describe the community consultation undertaken including the methodology and criteria for identifying stakeholders and how they were identified. Details and plans must be provided showing potential impacts on the local and wider community to justify how stakeholders were identified.
- Provide an outline of communication methods used. A variety of communication methods must be adopted to ensure all stakeholders are engaged appropriately, such as face to face, email/letters, community meetings and information sessions and website notifications.
- Provide details on the information provided during the community consultation process. A plain English statement explaining the proposal and conceptual drawings must be made available to the community and stakeholders.
- Consultation must occur as early as possible and avoid, or make allowances for public holidays, school holidays and the summer holiday (Christmas) shutdown period. The level of engagement must be comparable with the size, location and nature of the development and potential impact on the wider community.

9.3. Consideration of community feedback

- Provide a summary of how the community and stakeholders responded to the proposal and the main comments raised. Evidence must be provided demonstrating that consultation has been undertaken with each relevant group/person including specific detail on how these concerns were addressed.
- Describe how any concerns have been considered and identify any changes that have been made to the proposal.

9.4. Consideration of public representations from Draft EIS notification

- The revised EIS must include a consultation report outlining the representations received, issues raised in the representations and a response to the issues and values identified. The summary response must clearly identify the representation(s) to which the responses relate.

10. Recommendations

Provide a summary of any commitments to impact avoidance, mitigation measures, offsetting measures and other actions within the EIS. Describe the monitoring parameters, monitoring points, frequency, data interpretation and reporting proposals.

11. Other relevant information

The proponent may wish to include issues outside the scope of the EIS as a separate section of the EIS. This allows the proponent to identify matters not required to be addressed in the EIS, but that would be subject to development assessment consideration and notification. This can provide additional context for members of the public regarding management of environmental issues, by ensuring that the public is aware that these issues will be addressed in the detailed design of the proposal.

12. References

A reference list using standard referencing systems must be included.

13. Required Appendices

13.1. Scoping document for the EIS

A copy of the scoping document should be included in the EIS. Where it is intended to bind appendices in a separate volume from the main body of the EIS, the scoping document should be bound with the main body of the EIS for ease of cross-referencing.

13.2. Scoping Document Reference

Include a table that cross-references the EIS to the scoping document. If the EIS addresses the scoping document in multiple places then this must be also referenced.

13.3. Information Sources

For information given the following must be stated:

- The author or any reports or studies
- The publication date
- The source of the information
- How recent the information is (i.e. when a study was conducted or when primary sources were produced)
- How the reliability of the information was tested
- What uncertainties (if any) are in the information.

13.4. Study team

The qualifications and experience of the study team and specialist sub-consultants and expert reviewers must be provided.

13.5. Specialist studies

All reports generated based on specialist studies undertaken as part of the EIS are to be included as appendices.

13.6. Research

Any proposals for researching alternative environmental management strategies or for obtaining any further necessary information should be outlined in an appendix.

GLOSSARY

Development application (DA): Application for development as defined under the PD Act.

Environment: As defined under the *Planning and Development Act 2007* (the PD Act), each of the following is part of the environment:

- (a) the soil, atmosphere, water and other parts of the earth;
- (b) organic and inorganic matter;
- (c) living organisms;
- (d) structures, and areas, that are manufactured or modified;
- (e) ecosystems and parts of ecosystems, including people and communities;
- (f) qualities and characteristics of areas that contribute to their biological diversity, ecological integrity, scientific value, heritage value and amenity;
- (g) interactions and interdependencies within and between the things mentioned in paragraphs (a) to (f);
- (h) social, aesthetic, cultural and economic characteristics that affect, or are affected by, the things mentioned in paragraphs (a) to (f).

Environmental Impact Statement (EIS): As defined under the PD Act.

Impact Track: An assessment track that applies to a development proposal defined under the PD Act, s 123.

Long term: Greater than 15 years duration.

Medium term: Greater than three (3) years to 15 years duration.

PD Act: *Planning and Development Act 2007* (ACT)

Regulated waste: waste defined under the *Environment Protection Act 1997*

Scoping: The process of identifying the matters that are to be addressed by an EIS in relation to the development proposal - see the PD Act, s 212 (2).

Short term: Zero to three (3) years duration.

Socio-economic: Involving both social and economic factors.

Attachment A ENTITY REQUIREMENTS

A1. ACT State Emergency Service

Identified Flood Zone (1% AEP):

*The proposed site is adjacent to an area of land that may be inundated by a 1%AEP flood on the eastern boundary of the site (see **Attachment B**).*

ACTSES note that potential flooding may present a public safety risk due to the proximity of public access areas to land that may be subject to flooding. It is recommended that the project risk assessment consider flood risk, and that specific flood risk control measures are detailed in the Emergency Plan for this development.

General vehicle evacuation:

Evacuation routes are not compromised by potential 1% AEP flooding and vehicle options for inundation free egress remain open.

Identified Dam Infrastructure Failure Flood Zone:

*The proposed site is in an area that may become inundated (see **Attachment B**) on the eastern boundary of the site should a dam infrastructure failure occur at Scrivener or Googong Dam.*

While an incident of this type is rated by the ACT Government as RARE and of MEDIUM risk, it is recommended that the project risk assessment consider this risk and that specific risk control measures are detailed in the Emergency Plan for this development.

A2. ACT Health

The Health Protection Service (HPS) has reviewed the proposed Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Scoping Document and advises the applicant that:

- In relation to radiology services, radiation shielding designs must be submitted to the HPS for approval prior to construction. Registration of radiation sources is also required by the Radiation Protection Act 2006. The applicant is advised to contact the HPS for further information.*
- The veterinary business is required to comply with the Medicines, Poisons and Therapeutic Goods Act 2008. The applicant is advised to contact the HPS for any queries related to the storage and dealings of medicines and poisons.*
- All taps and outlets utilising stormwater are clearly labelled as being provided with non-potable water.*

There are no other public health concerns in relation to the proposed redevelopment.

Thank you again for the opportunity to review and comment on the above EIS Scoping Document. Should you require any further information, please contact Kate Groeschel on (02) 5124 9092 or email kate.groeschel@act.gov.au.

A3. ACT Heritage

Review of the ACT Heritage Register identifies that the subject block contains no registered or recorded heritage places or objects.

Review of historical aerial imagery also suggests that the subject block has been subject to earthworks in the 1970s during the conversion of Weston Creek, which runs immediately east of the block, to a drainage channel. Due to this ground disturbance, along with the development of the existing facility, the subject block is likely to be of low archaeological potential.

For this reason, the Council considers that further development of the subject block is unlikely to diminish the heritage significance of a place or result in damage to Aboriginal heritage places or objects, and no heritage assessment is required as part of the EIS.

However, the Scoping Document should identify that the EIS is to include an Unexpected Discovery Protocol (UDP) for the management of any Aboriginal places and/or objects unexpectedly encountered at any stage of the project. This UDP should demonstrate consistency with Heritage Act 2004 requirements, and at minimum include the following steps:

- All works are to cease to allow for heritage assessment and management (in accordance with Section 75 of the Heritage Act 2004);*
- The discovery is to be reported to the Council within five working days (in accordance with Section 51 of the Heritage Act 2004); and*
- Council advice on further heritage requirements is to be implemented prior to the recommencement of works.*

A4. Icon Water

Analytical Services Team

For sewer capacity, it's expected the existing network is sufficient to handle the 20EP increase foul flow. In regard to the DN375 main running across the block, UDS (officer: Craig Allen) has advised the protection envelope with input of principal engineer. It is not expected any building on top of the existing trunk sewer and proper clearance shall be in place based on our design standard.

The block is currently serviced by DN 100 main along Dixon Drive. Any commercial development might trigger augmentation to water supply network depend upon fire flow requirements. Further assessment will be required to determine scale/necessity of augmentation.

Developer Services Team

No objections from Developer Services perspective at this stage of the process.

A5. Transport Canberra and City Services

It appears appropriate studies have been undertaken for the proposal, including traffic and waste considerations. The proposed development will be assessed during the Development Application (DA) process, including referral to TCCS for review and comments. It's noted that the proposed development may need to update specific studies such as waste, traffic or parking to the appropriate codes or guidelines that are applicable at the time of DA lodgement.

There are no further comments from TCCS.

A6. Environment Protection Authority (EPA)

To date, no comment has been received.

A7. Conservator of Flora and Fauna

No comment.

A8. Evoenergy – Gas

No comment.

Attachment B FLOOD MAPS



LEGEND

10m Contours

esasde.ESA_SES.FSIP_Dam_Flood

- Sunny Day Failure
- Overtopping Flood no Breach
- Overtopping Flood with Breach
- Probable Maximum Flood
- Probable Maximum Flood no Breach
- Probable Maximum Flood with breach
- 1 in 100000 AEP Saddle Dam no Breach
- 1 in 100000 AEP Saddle Dam piping breach
- AEP_1_in_100
- AEP_1_in_1000
- AEP_1_in_10000
- Imminent Failure Flood With Breach
- Sunny Day Failure Main Embankment Breach
- Sunny Day Failure Saddle Dam Breach
- Sunny Day Failure with Breach
- esasde.ESA_BASE.Blocks

Depth_Aggregated

- 0 - 0.01 (excluded from actmapiv view)
- 0.01 - 0.25
- 0.25 - 0.5
- 0.5 - 0.75
- 0.75 - 1
- 1 - 1.5
- > 1.5

PRODUCTION INFORMATION

Map Projection:
WGS 1984 Web Mercator Auxiliary Sphere
Print Date / Time: 21/01/2022 09:00
Produced by: Web AppBuilder for ArcGIS



WARNING INFORMATION

Data Supplied by various stakeholders under ANZLIC*
Guidelines.

The information contained herein has been provided in
good faith.
Effort has been made to ensure its accuracy and
completeness.

The Stakeholders take no responsibility for errors or
omission nor any loss or damage that may result from the
use of this information.

*Australian New Zealand Land Information Council.



This information is for ESA use only
and should not be distributed outside
the organisation.

Scale 1 : 1 128 when printed at A4



LEGEND

10m Contours

esasde.ESA_BASE.Blocks

Depth_Aggregated

- 0 - 0.01 (excluded from actmap view)
- 0.01 - 0.25
- 0.25 - 0.5
- 0.5 - 0.75
- 0.75 - 1
- 1 - 1.5
- > 1.5
- Red: Red
- Green: Green
- Blue: Blue

PRODUCTION INFORMATION

Map Projection:
WGS 1984 Web Mercator Auxiliary Sphere
Print Date / Time: 21/01/2022 08:59
Produced by: Web AppBuilder for ArcGIS



WARNING INFORMATION

Data Supplied by various stakeholders under ANZLIC*
Guidelines.

The information contained herein has been provided in
good faith.
Effort has been made to ensure its accuracy and
completeness.

The Stakeholders take no responsibility for errors or
omission nor any loss or damage that may result from the
use of this information.

*Australian New Zealand Land Information Council.